

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.209

CRM-M-43373-2021

Date of decision: 13.01.2022

Kamaljot Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Rituraj Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Deepinder Brar, Advocate
for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition is the second one filed by the petitioner for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.0054 dated 06.05.2019 registered under Sections 420 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Daba, District Ludhiana, primarily on the ground that after the dismissal of the first petition the petitioner and the complainant have compromised the matter.

On October 25, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner, who is accused of compoundable offences, has compromised the matter with the complainant and in this regard attention of this Court is drawn to a written compromise dated 05.10.2021 (Annexure P-4).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Saurav Khurana, DAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State.

For arguments, adjourned to 12.01.2022.

Before the adjourned date the State shall file a status report and in particular inform this Court as to whether the petitioner and the complainant has compromised the matter.

In the meanwhile subject to the petitioner's joining investigation as and when called by the investigating agency as also abiding by the other conditions provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C, in the event of his arrest in FIR No.0054 dated 06.05.2019 registered under Section 420 IPC and Section 24 of the Immigration Act, 1983 at Police Station Daba, district Ludhiana, he shall be released on ad interim anticipatory bail to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer.”

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance to the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.

Learned counsel for the complainant admits to the compromise between the parties.

After considering the afore facts and submissions made on behalf of learned counsel for the parties, the interim order of this Court dated 25.10.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

January 13, 2022
Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No