

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(106)

CWP-25657-2021

Date of Decision : March 31, 2022

Mahabir Singh

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate and
Mr. Akshit Grover, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Raman Kumar Sharma, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner at this stage intends to approach the higher authorities in the respondents-Department to agitate his claim that the similarly situated employees, have already been granted the relief as being claimed by him but he has been denied the same benefits by respondent No.3 by passing the impugned order, ignoring the said fact.

Ordered accordingly.

March 31, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No