

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

116

**CRM-M-39478-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 13.09.2022**

KAMAL SINGH

.....Petitioner

Vs.

STATE OF HARYANA

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Manish Bansal, DAG, Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

CRM-33107-2022

Application is allowed, as prayed for.

Vernacular copy of report under Section 173 CrPC, is taken on record.

Main case

Learned counsel for the State has opposed the present petition on the grounds that the order was upheld by the Revisionary Court.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case, this Court makes observations that at the time of recording of the evidence, in case material comes which makes out a prima facie case under 452 IPC, then the trial Court be directed to alter and amend the charges.

Prayer being innocuous is accepted and even otherwise it is legal right of the petitioner.

Given above, the petition is disposed of with the observations that in case, after recording of evidence, any material comes on record for framing of charges u/s 452 IPC, then the trial Court should alter/amend the charges. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

**(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE**

13.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No