

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.19634 of 2020

Date of Decision: 20.05.2022

Pawan Kumar and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. S. P. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sharad Aggarwal, AAG, Haryana.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL J. (ORAL)

Petitioners are challenging the impugned notice dated 06.11.2020 (Annexure P-1) along with action of the respondents for not calling the petitioners for scrutiny of documents for selection to the post of Junior System Engineer in response to the advertisement No.11/2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners had not been called for scrutiny of documents although candidates, who had secured lesser marks than them were called for scrutiny.

Learned State counsel while referring to the reply filed by the respondents submits that the candidates, who had secured 81 marks in the BCB category, had been called for scrutiny of documents, while the petitioners had secured lesser marks. He has furnished the result of the

petitioners which indicates that petitioner No.1, namely, Pawan Kumar has obtained 72 marks in the BCB category while petitioner No.2 has secured 73 marks which is lesser than the cut off for scrutiny in this category.

In view of the above, especially when the petitioners have secured lesser marks than the last candidate called for scrutiny, I do not find any merit in the petition, which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

20.05.2022

rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No