

Bachitar Singh and others

...Petitioners

versus

Jaspreet Talwar IAS and another

....Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Nitesh Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Mohit Kapoor, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P/1 dated 01.12.2021, in CWP-24388-2021.

2. Perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 01.12.2021, reveals that CWP-24388-2021, was disposed of by directing respondent No.1 herein to consider the claim made in legal notice dated 19.08.2021, in accordance with law, by passing a speaking order within four months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners states that notice was served on the respondents on 20.12.2021, for complying with order dated 01.12.2021. However, despite query, learned counsel for the petitioner has failed to point out whether certified copy of order Annexure P/1 was supplied to respondent No.2 and if so, the date on which the same was supplied.

4. Although notice has not been issued in the instant petition,

yet, learned Addl. AG, Punjab, has produced copy of order dated 22.08.2022, accepting the claim made in the legal notice and directing the concerned Drawing and Disbursing Officer to refix the pay of the petitioners under intimation to the DPI (SE), Punjab, with the aforesaid order being subject to finalization of seniority of Master Cadre by the Court.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that some financial benefits have not been granted to the petitioners vide order dated 22.08.2022, but in the circumstances, he does not press the instant petition while reserving his right to challenge order dated 22.08.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law, for claiming the remaining benefits, as per entitlement.

6. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioners, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioners as prayed for.

7. Rule discharged.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

31.08.2022

rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No