

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(217)

CWP-4298-2016

Date of Decision : May 24, 2022

Karam Singh Kamboj

.. Petitioner

Versus

Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. P.S. Rana, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Arjun Dosanj, Advocate, for

Mr. C.S. Bakhshi, Advocate, for the respondents.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in the present case, the petitioner had raised a grievance with respect to his service dues by giving the details of amount for which the petitioner is entitled for after giving detailed break up of the said amount in his legal notice dated 22.12.2015 (Annexure P-10) but the said legal notice has only been replied to in one line saying that there is no force in the legal notice, without advertng to the factual aspects stated in paragraph 4 and 5 of the legal notice.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents submits that appropriate order on the legal notice will be passed again by duly advertng to the averments made in paragraph 4 and 5 of the legal

notice within a period of three months from the receipt of copy of this order

and in case, it is found that the petitioner is entitled for any monetary benefits, the same will also be released to the petitioner within a period of two months thereafter.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the reply of the learned counsel for the respondents, no further grievance of the petitioner survives in the present petition and the same may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

May 24, 2022

harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No