

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr.No.232

**CRM-M No.14621 of 2021(O&M)
Date of Decision:03.03.2022**

Shally ...Petitioner
Versus
State of Punjab & anr. ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present: Mr. Kapil Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Ms. Samina Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Lakhwinder Singh Mann, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition while submitting that the charges have been framed by the trial Court in the criminal case arising out of the FIR in question and the petitioner has already preferred a revision petition before the Sessions Court to assail the order qua the framing of the charges and she would be raising the pleas/grounds as raised/taken in the present petition, as may be permissible to her under law, before the Sessions Court.

Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondent No.2 have no objection for the same.

Resultantly, the instant petition stands disposed of as having been withdrawn, accordingly.

03.03.2022
monika

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable?</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>