

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.218

CWP No.504 of 2015

Date of Decision: 20.09.2022

Sheo Ram Gupta

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajeev Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Addl. A.G.Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Jasuja, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, the grievance of the petitioner is that the service which the petitioner had rendered with respondent No.3-Institute starting from 01.08.1972 onwards till the deduction of the CPF on 01.01.1977, be also treated as qualifying service for computing his pensionary benefits.

Learned counsel for the parties are agreed that the question of law raised in the present petition is covered by the decision of this Court rendered in **CWP No.5897 of 2003** decided on 09.1.2019, titled as **Prem Chand Tagla and others versus State of Haryana and others**, hence, the present petition be also disposed of in same terms and conditions.

Ordered accordingly.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is also claiming interest on the delayed release of the pensionary benefits,

hence, the liberty be given to the petitioner to raise the said claim by filing an appropriate representation before the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submit that in case, any representation is filed by the petitioner raising the aforesaid claim, the same will be considered and decided in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

It is clarified that the interest which the petitioner is liable to pay on the deposit, will be as stated in the statute and will not be covered by the interest mentioned in the **Prem Chand Tagla's case (supra)**.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

20.09.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No