

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

113

**CRWP No.9737-2021
Date of decision: 18.02.2022**

Jaspal Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. P.S. Sekhon, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sidakmeet Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Case heard via video conferencing.

On 13.01.2022, the following order had been passed:-

“Case heard via video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has sought an adjournment through the co-ordinator of the video conference, which cannot be refused on account of the fact that the turn of the case came up for hearing well after 4.00 p.m.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner would be required to address arguments in terms of what has been stated by the IGP, Roopnagar Range, in his affidavit dated 11.12.2021, specifically in paragraphs 6 to 7 thereof.

For that purpose, adjourned to 18.02.2022.

To be shown in the urgent motion list.”

The reply dated 11.02.2021, filed by the Inspector General of Police, Roopnagar Range, is order to be taken on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in view of the reply and the statement given by the petitioner to the IGP, Roopnagar Range, to the effect that he does not require protection, he does not press this petition.

Consequently, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn without making any comment on the merits of the case.

Naturally, if the petitioner, who is shown to be 71 years of age, at any subsequent stage also apprehends any threat to his life/liberty, he would be at liberty to file a fresh petition and to approach the IGP, Roopnagar Range seeking protection.

18.02.2022
D.Bansal

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable	Yes / No