

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(248)

CRM-M-38360-2020

Date of decision: - 19.04.2022

Jai Bhagwan

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Tejas Bansal, Advocate
for Mr. Sanjiv Kumar Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Munish Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking registration of FIR and further investigation against respondents No.5 and 6 for the murder of Sh. Mahabir Prashad, father of the petitioner, regarding which the petitioner had lodged a statement/complaint (P-1) on 11.08.2020, but despite making representations to the Superintendent of Police, Hansi, DIG, Hisar Range, Hisar neither any FIR has been lodged against the respondents No.5 and 6 under Section 302 IPC nor any action has been taken against them.

After arguing for some time and faced with the dictum of law laid down by Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in case titled **Sakiri Vasu Vs. State of U.P. and others**, reported as **2008 (2) SCC 409** and in **M.**

Subramaniam and another Vs. S. Janaki and another, Criminal Appeal

No.102 of 2011, decided on 20.03.2020, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to pursue alternative remedies, in accordance with law.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

April 19, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes
No