

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(Sr. No. 208)

CRM-M No.38856 of 2022

Date of decision : 02.09.2022

Mahipal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

.....Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present : Mr. Jangjit Singh Dahiya, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Section 439 Cr.P.C. for the grant of regular bail in FIR No.196 dated 01.10.2021 registered under Sections 376 (2) (n) and 506 IPC at Police Station Women Panipat, district Panipat.

At the outset, learned State counsel, who appears on advance notice, seeks dismissal of the petitioner's plea for bail by submitting that the petitioner's trial is virtually over as in the same only two prosecution witnesses remain to be examined as also that the petitioner is facing trial in another FIR under Section 306 IPC.

In the light of the above submissions made on behalf of the State, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he does not press the present petition on its merits and would be satisfied if the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial in a time bound manner.

Learned State counsel submits that he has no objection if the above alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is accepted.

Every undertrial has a right to a speedy trial and because only two prosecution witnesses remain to be examined in the petitioner's trial, the alternate prayer made on behalf of the petitioner is found to be reasonable and therefore accepted. Resultantly, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Trial Court to dispose of the petitioner's trial within three months from the next date fixed before it, in accordance with law.

02.09.2022

sunil yadav

**(DEEPAK SIBAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No