

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

111

CRWP-8318-2022

Date of Decision:01.09.2022

M/s Parmod Kumar & Co. through its Proprietor Parmod Kumar
.....Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRespondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Anurag Chopra, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed for protection of life and liberty of the petitioner from the hands of private respondents and further for issuance of directions to the official respondents to fairly inquire into the representations dated 24.08.2022 (Annexures P-5 & P-6).

Notice served upon the official respondents through State's counsel. No notices are required to issue to the private respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be contended and satisfied in case direction be issued to respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, to decide the representations dated 24.08.2022 (Annexures P-5 & P-6) in the shortest possible time.

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, respondent No.2- Senior Superintendent of Police, Kaithal, is directed to decide the representation by passing a speaking and reasoned order ***within 30 working days from today and communicate the order to the petitioner immediately.***

In case, the grievance of the petitioner still exists, he shall be at liberty to avail legal remedy in accordance with law including approaching this Court.

In case, the concerned SSP feels that the security is required, then he

shall provide such security on day to day analysis and this analysis shall continue for 30 days from today.

Petition is allowed to the extent above. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

01.09.2022

anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No