

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

111

CRR-1782-2022

Date of Decision: 07.12.2022

Raj Kumar

... Petitioner

VS.

Rohit Kumar

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Ankit Joshi, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Akash Mehta, Advocate for

Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate for the respondent

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

This criminal revision petition is directed against the order dated 04.08.2022 passed by the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Malerkotla vide which the evidence of the present petitioner has been closed in Criminal Complaint No. NACT/660/2017 dated 31.10.2017.

On 30.08.2022, when this case came up for preliminary hearing, the following order was passed:-

“Mr. Munish Gupta, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of the respondent and has filed Memorandum of Appearance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner at the very outset submits that the connected revision petition i.e. CRR-1715-2022, was listed before the Co-ordinate Bench, wherein, the matter was sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court and the matter was amicably settled between the parties. The order dated 22.08.2022 passed in CRR-1715-2022 titled as 'Raj Kumar versus Major Singh' as well as report of Mediation and Conciliation Centre have been produced in Court, by the counsel for the petitioner, which is taken on record.

Both the parties are ad idem that the matter be sent to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court for tomorrow i.e. 31.08.2022.

In view of the above, the present petition is referred to the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court to explore the possibility to settle the matter. The parties are directed to appear before the said centre on 31.08.2022 at 10:00 a.m., and thereafter, the report be sent to this Court on 01.09.2022.”

Learned counsel for the parties are ad idem that the parties to the lis have entered into a settlement before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court.

In view of the settlement arrived at between the parties before the Mediation and Conciliation Centre of this Court, as is evident from the report of the Mediator dated 29.08.2022, the dispute involved in the matter, has been put to rest.

Learned counsel for both the parties have stated, at the bar, that the parties do not want to pursue the present petition and the same may be disposed of as per the terms and conditions as mentioned in the settlement deed, which is stated to have been complied with.

Accordingly, in view of the aforementioned statement, the petition is accordingly disposed of in terms of the compromise.

07.12.2022

V.Vishal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No