

**221 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-23337-2018

Date of Decision: 31.03.2022

Santosh Kumari

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Parminder Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narinder Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as in the reply filed by the respondents, it has been stated that the petitioner had already availed the benefits of leave encashment from the CONFED, Haryana, where the petitioner was working earlier, coupled with the fact that the claim of the petitioner for the grant of gratuity falls under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1982, therefore, he may kindly be permitted to withdraw the present petition with a liberty to avail the appropriate remedy available to the petitioner under the Payment of Gratuity Act, 1982.

Ordered accordingly.

31.03.2022

Apurva

**(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE**

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

2. Whether reportable : Yes/No