

In the High Court of Punjab and Haryana at Chandigarh

124

CWP-19153-2022 (O & M)

Date of Decision: August 29, 2022

RAMBIR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

**KURUKSHETRA UNIVERSITY ,
KURUKSHETRA**

....RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Dr. Naresh Kaushik, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J (ORAL)

The petitioner has challenged the combined test conducted by the respondent for the post of Assistant Professor.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that answer key had 13 incorrect questions and answers, therefore, the entire result be set aside.

Issue notice to the respondent.

Mr. A.S. Virk, Advocate has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.1 and submits that the petitioner had submitted objections against only two questions. These objections pertain to questions No.9 and 16 and the same were examined by the Expert Committee, which did not find anything wrong in the answer key. The candidates were required to deposit Rs.500/- for every objection as cost, which has to be incurred by the respondent for getting the same re-evaluated from the experts. He has also furnished a copy of the objections sent by the petitioner, which is taken on record.

Heard.

The petitioner in the instant petition has raised objections to 13 questions. He had raised objections to only two questions i.e. questions No.9 and 16, which was sent to the expert for evaluation. On the basis of the material produced before me, I am not in a position to dispute the evaluation of the expert. If the petitioner had any grievance with regard to the other questions, he should have raised the same with the respondents.

In view of the above, I do not find any merit in the instant petition, which stands dismissed.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

August 29, 2022
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No