

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CRWP No.8287 of 2022
Date of Decision: 16.09.2022**

Shubham Atri

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA

Present:- Mr. J.S. Bains, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Ramta Chowdhary, DAG, Punjab
for respondents No.1 to 3.

MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)

Mr. Dhawal Bhandari, Advocate, has appeared on behalf of respondents No.4 to 6 in this petition and has submitted his power of attorney in the Court and the same is taken on the record.

2. The alleged detainee (respondent No.6 named Diksha Verma) has also appeared along-with her counsel and has expressed her desire to make the statement in the Court and the same has been reduced into writing accordingly. She has stated that the petitioner had forced her to marry him and the said marriage was not solemnized out of her own volition and she had returned to her parental home on 15.08.2022 and is residing with her parents since then and she does not want to reside with the petitioner any more and rather, wants to stay with her parents.

3. At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks permission to withdraw the instant petition, while submitting that the petitioner would avail the appropriate, alternative and efficacious remedy, as may be permissible to him under law for seeking the redressal of his grievance as set-forth in this petition.

4. Learned State counsel as well as learned counsel for respondents No.4 to 6 have no objection in the withdrawal of the present petition.

5. Resultantly, the petition in hand stands dismissed for having been withdrawn.

September 16, 2022

Yag Dutt

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No