

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(124)

CWP-19671-2022

Date of Decision : September 12, 2022

Arjun Singh Yadav

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Aditya Yadav, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already filed a representation dated 30.04.2022 (Annexure P-10) with a prayer that his pay should be step up equivalent to his junior, which representation is not being decided by the respondents and non-grant of the relief to the petitioner is causing prejudice to him.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner will be satisfied at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to decide the said representation by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is

present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents and raises no

objection in deciding the representation dated 30.04.2022 (Annexure P-10) in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Without commenting upon the merits of the case or about the entitlement of the petitioner for the relief which has been claimed by him in the representation dated 30.04.2022 (Annexure P-10), the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 30.04.2022 (Annexure P-10) within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

September 12, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No