

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.227

CWP No.2489 OF 2017

Date of Decision: 20.05.2022

Om Parkash

.... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Arun Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Sanjiv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Narender Singh Behgal, AAG, Haryana.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI, J. (ORAL)

In the present case, grievance of the petitioner is that his
adhoc/daily wages service followed by regularization be counted for the
purpose of computing his pensionary benefits.

In reply, the respondents have made the following averments:-

*“That it is worthwhile to mention here that the benefit as
alleged has already been given to the petitioner by counting
his adhoc/daily wages period. Copy of Sanction Order
(Pension) bearing No. PEN-5 // 11137193671936/ 4/P/ 17/15
/177883 dated 28.06.2017 and Sanction Order (Gratuity)
bearing No. PEN-5//1217171134171134/4/P/17/15/177883
dated 28.06.2017 are enclosed herewith as Annexure R-1 and
Annexure R-2 respectively. Whereas remaining retiral benefits
of the petitioner has already been granted to him.”*

A bare perusal of the aforesaid reply would reveal that the
benefits as claimed by the petitioner in the present petition have already
been extended to him by the respondents.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has not rebutted the fact that the relief for which the present petition has been filed, has already been extended to him.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court and accordingly, the present petition is disposed of as such.

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

20.05.2022
Maninder

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No