

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

128

CWP-19273-2022

DATE OF DECISION: 30.08.2022

ANKIT

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present: Mr. Mandeep Singh Lamba, Advocate for
Mr. R.S. Dhull, Advocate for the petitioner.

ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner has sought quashing of the result of the examination for the post of Assistant Lineman in pursuance to the advertisement No.11 /2019.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that there was a typographical mistake in question No.49 and the same had not been corrected. He further submits that the objections to the answer key for question No.58 had been accepted by the Commission and after revision, the correct answer was 'E' but the petitioner has not been granted the benefit for the same.

Issue notice to the respondents.

At the asking of the Court, Mr. Anant Kataria, DAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Heard.

The question No.49 is reproduced hereunder:-

“49. In the first freedom struggle, which of the following kings of Ballabhgarh led the revolutionary army in Delhi.”

[A] Raja Karn Singh

[B] Raja Nahan Singh

[C] Raja Suraj Bhan

[D] Raja Satyapal

[E] None of them”

The correct answer as per the answer key is stated to be ‘E’ which means that none of the other four options was the correct answer.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the correct answer would be Raja Nahar Singh and it has wrongly been typed as Raja Nahan Singh. Even assuming the correct answer is Raja Nahar Singh and the same has been incorrectly typed, the respondents have rightly arrived at a conclusion that none of the four options is the correct answer. Therefore, I do not find any merit in this contention. Insofar as the contention of the counsel for the petitioner that he has not been given the benefit of the revised answer to question No.58, the respondents could be directed to consider the same.

Consequently, the petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondents that they will consider the claim of the petitioner qua question No.58 in terms of the revised answer key in case this has not already been done. The needful be done within a period of 10 days.

(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE

30.08.2022

SwarnjitS

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No