

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.211

**CWP-24688-2017 (O&M)**  
**Date of decision : 14.12.2022**

Anuradha Jaidka

..... Petitioner

**VERSUS**

Panjab University, Chandigarh and others

..... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

Present: Mr. S.K. Nehra, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Kshitij Sharma, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

Mr. Shubham Pathania, Advocate for  
Mr. Prateek Gupta, Advocate, for respondents No.5 and 6.

Mr. Vibhum, Advocate for  
Ms. Anu Chatrath, Sr. Advocate, for respondent No.7.

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (Oral)**

Vide impugned order dated 14.6.2017 (Annexure P-14), the enrolment of the petitioner for the Ph.D Programme was cancelled for having made a false complaint of sexual harassment.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that meanwhile, the petitioner has completed the Ph.D Course as the impugned order was stayed. The petitioner is not interested in prolonging the issue and would not take any action against the University, if, the impugned order and report dated 25.4.2017 (Annexure P-12) is withdrawn.

Learned counsel appearing for the University submits that he has instructions to state that the aforementioned order and report would be withdrawn and that an appropriate order would be passed within one week from

In view of the aforementioned submission, the writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. The parties shall remain bound by their respective statements.

(SUDHIR MITTAL)  
JUDGE

14.12.2022  
Ramandeep Singh

Whether speaking / reasoned  
Whether Reportable

Yes / No  
Yes/ No