

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

CWP-3496-2016

Date of decision : 01.08.2022

Devi Dayal

... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab Mandi Board and others

.. Respondents

CORAM :HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL

Present:- Mr. Jaspal Singh Maanipur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ajay Paul Singh Jangu, Advocate for
Mr. Surender Pal Singh Tinna, Advocate
for respondents No.1 to 3.

Mr. D.R. Parihar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

Anupinder Singh Grewal, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he is confining the prayer in this petition to the consideration of his representation by the official respondents. He also submits that the petitioner shall be submitting a representation before respondent No.2 and the petition may be disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and decide the same in accordance with law.

Heard.

In view of the limited prayer of the petitioner, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that in the event of the petitioner making a representation, the same shall be considered and decided in accordance with law within a period of four months from its receipt.

**(ANUPINDER SINGH GREWAL)
JUDGE**

August 01, 2022

sonia gugnani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No