

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-22894-2018

Date of decision: 29.10.2022

MONIKA

...Petitioner

VS

HARYANA PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present : None for the petitioner.

Mr. Kanwal Goyal, Advocate,
For the respondent-Commission.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Petition herein, *inter alia*, is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider the candidature of the petitioner for the post of Assistant Director (Statistics) Group B advertised vide advertisement No. 1 (2)/2016.

2. Vide order dated 10.09.2018, while issuing notice of motion, petitioner was allowed to be interviewed provisionally and her result was ordered to be kept in a sealed cover.

3. Learned counsel for the respondent-Commission submits that in view of para 2 of the return, proceedings before this Court are rendered infructuous. Para 2 of the return is reproduced hereinbelow:-

“2. That consequently, petitioner was interviewed on 13.09.2018 and the final result was declared on 18.09.2018, whereby the petitioner did not come within the zone of consideration. However, the result of the petitioner was not kept in sealed cover and her result was declared along with the result of other

candidates, as the petitioner had not brought to the notice of the Commission regarding the passing of the interim order dated 10.09.2018, and it was for the first time on 15.10.2018 that the Commission had received the copy of the writ petition, whereby on perusal of the interim order, it came to the knowledge of the Commission that the result of the petitioner was to be kept in sealed cover.”

4. The result of the petitioner is not under challenge before this Court. It seems that petitioner was under the wrong impression at the time of filing the instant petition that based on her performance, she would qualify. Being so, no further grounds to interfere are made out. Even if claim of the petitioner is that her document, which was for some reason not submitted on time is accepted, she would still not make the cut.

5. In view of the stand taken in the return, the writ petition is dismissed.

OCTOBER 29, 2022
vandana

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No