

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(117)

CWP-19047-2022

Date of Decision : August 29, 2022

Kavita

.. Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Jasbir Singh Mor, Advocate, for the petitioner.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the petitioner applied for the post of TGT English in respect of the advertisement of the year 2014. While submitting the form, the petitioner had applied under the EBPGC category. Thereafter, the advertisement in pursuance to which the petitioner had applied for, was withdrawn and another advertisement was issued being advertisement No. 9 dated 23.07.2015 wherein, inadvertently, while filling the form, the petitioner mentioned her category as general category. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that after receiving the roll number wherein, the category of the petitioner was mentioned as general, the petitioner filed a representation with the respondents dated 05.02.2016 (Annexure P-8) for the correction/change of her category from general to EBPGC. Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that

the petitioner has already cleared the written examination and has also appeared for the interview but the petitioner apprehends that the respondents have not changed the category of the petitioner from general to EBPGC, which is going to cause prejudice to her and hence, the petitioner again filed a representation on 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-13), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and as, the result of the competition is yet to be declared, the respondents be directed to pass appropriate order on the representation of the petitioner dated 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-13) as to whether, the claim of the petitioner for the change/correction of her category has been accepted or not.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Harish Nain, learned Assistant Advocate General, Haryana, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case the representation of the petitioner dated 08.08.2022 (Annexure P-13) has not been decided so far, the same will be decided by passing an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the receipt of copy of this order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

Ordered accordingly.

August 29, 2022
harsha

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No