

212

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-2274-2018

Date of decision: 27.10.2022

AMARJIT SINGH

...Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE JAISHREE THAKUR

Present:- Mr. R. V. S. Chugh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sehajbir Singh Aulakh, AAG, Punjab.

JAISHREE THAKUR, J. (Oral)

The instant writ petition has been filed seeking issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing order dated 27.12.2016 (Annexure P-3), whereby respondent No.5 has been appointed as Carpenter in Police Commissionerate, Jalandhar on the recommendations of respondent No.4.

Learned counsel for the petitioner herein would contend that one post was made available for appointment as a Carpenter, however, without availing due process or advertising such post through Employment Exchange, respondent No.5 was appointed merely on the recommendation made by respondent No.4. It is submitted that the petitioner herein is duly qualified to be appointed as a Carpenter having necessary qualification.

At this juncture, learned State counsel would submit that in fact, respondent No.5 has since expired on 12.02.2019 and, therefore, the instant

writ petition would be rendered infructuous and as on date, no one has been appointed in his place.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties and find that the instant writ petition has rendered infructuous in view of the fact that respondent No.5 has since expired.

Disposed of as infructuous.

While disposing of the instant writ petition, it is hereby directed that in case, the post of Carpenter is to be filled up, it would be filled up by following the due process.

27.10.2022
Chetan Thakur

(JAISHREE THAKUR)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No