

HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-38524-2022**Date of Decision: 22.11.2022**

Santosh Kumar Mishra

... Petitioner

VS.

State of Haryana & Anr.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Vansh Chawla, Advocate for the petitioner

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG Haryana

Mr. Chetan Goyal, Advocate for

Mr. Prince Goyal, Advocate for respondent No.2

Sandeep Moudgil, J. (Oral)

This is a petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.0314 dated 06.06.2022 under Sections 420 IPC registered at Police Station Baldev Nagar, Ambala (Annexure P1) on the basis of compromise dated 18.07.2022 (Annexure P2).

During the pendency of the dispute, the parties have compromised the matter. Vide order dated 29.08.2022, parties were directed to appear before the Illaqa Magistrate/Trial Court and for report with regard to the genuineness of the compromise.

The report dated 11.10.2022 has been received from learned JMIC, Ambala stating that the parties have entered into a compromise without any undue influence or pressure.

A Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others vs.*

State of Punjab, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, has held:-

“The only inevitable conclusion from the above discussion is that there is no statutory bar under the Cr.P.C. which can affect the inherent power of this Court under Section 482. Further, the same cannot be limited to matrimonial cases alone and the Court has the wide power to quash the proceedings even in noncompoundable offences notwithstanding the bar under Section 320 of the Cr.P.C., in order to prevent the abuse of law and to secure the ends of justice. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. is to be exercised Ex-Debitia Justitia to prevent an abuse of process of Court. There can neither be an exhaustive list nor the defined para-meters to enable a High Court to invoke or exercise its inherent powers. It will always depend upon the facts and circumstances of each case. The power under Section 482 of the Cr.P.C. has no limits. However, the High Court will exercise it sparingly and with utmost care and caution. The exercise of power has to be with circumspection and restraint. The Court is a vital and an extra-ordinary effective instrument to maintain and control social order. The Courts play role of paramount importance in achieving peace, harmony and everlasting congeniality in society. Resolution of a dispute by way of a compromise between two warring groups, therefore, should attract the immediate and prompt attention of a Court which should endeavour to give full effect to the same unless such compromise is abhorrent to lawful composition of the society or would promote savagery.”

The legal principles as laid down for quashing of the judgment were also approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of '**Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and another, (2012) 10 SCC 303'** . Furthermore, the broad principles for exercising the powers under Section 482 were summarized by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in the matter of

'Parbatbhai Aahir @ Parbatbhai Bhimsinhbhai Karmur and others versus State of Gujarat and another" (2017) 9 SCC 641'.

It is evident that in view of the amicable resolution of the issues amongst the parties, no useful purpose would be served by continuation of the proceedings. The furtherance of the proceedings is likely to be wastage of judicial time as there appears to be no chances of conviction.

In view of above, prayer made in the present petition is allowed and the above mentioned FIR with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed *qua* the petitioners in view of the compromise.

Disposed off.

22.11.2022

V.Vishal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned?
2. Whether reportable?

(Sandeep Moudgil)
Judge

Yes/No
Yes/No