

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

221

(Through Video Conferencing)

CRM-M-42224-2021

Date of decision: 22.02.2022

Siddharth Abrol and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Tanmoy Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Karan Sharma, DAG, Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Munjal, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.0327 dated 24.06.2020, lodged under Sections 323, 34, 406, 498A and 506 IPC registered at Police Station Camp Palwal, District Palwal and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise/settlement dated 19.03.2021 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that it is essential on account of a marital discord between petitioner No.1 and respondent No.2 that the FIR in question came into existence, however, subsequently with the intervention of the respectables of the society, the parties have amicably resolved all their disputes. He further submits that a petition for divorce between the parties under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955 has also been filed.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 does not dispute the submissions made by counsel opposite and also does not oppose the prayer for quashing of FIR on the basis of compromise.

Vide order dated 21.12.2021 of Coordinate Bench of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 28.01.2022 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Palwal, in pursuance to the direction of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made a statement to the effect that she would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

The trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondent No.2 is the only aggrieved person in the FIR in question.

In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate, Ist Class, Palwal and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Narinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2014(2) RCR (Criminal) 482***, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising

out of it, are quashed.

Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

22.02.2022

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No