

COCp-2526-2020

Date of Decision :01.06.2022

Veena Rani

...Petitioner

versus

Sukhjit Pal Singh

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. P.K. Goklaney, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 31.01.2020, in CWP-2620-2020.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P/1 dated 31.01.2020, reveals that CWP-2620-2020, was disposed of by directing the respondent to decide legal notice dated 05.11.2019, by passing a speaking order within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order, qua the claim of the petitioner in the writ petition for payment of interest on delayed payment of pensionary benefits.

3. Notice has not yet been issued in this case. However, learned AAG, Punjab, has placed on record copy of order dated 27.05.2022, rejecting the claim of the petitioner for grant of interest on delayed payment of leave encashment. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. It needs noticing here that grievance of the petitioner as noted

in order dated 25.02.2021 was that interest on other retiral benefits had been paid but the petitioner had not been paid interest on the delayed payment of leave encashment. A perusal of order dated 27.05.2022, further indicates that claim of the petitioner for grant of interest on the delayed payment of leave encashment has been rejected by the respondent.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same with liberty to challenge order dated 27.05.2022, by way of appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law.

6. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is disposed of as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

01.06.2022
rajesh

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>