

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.207-3

CRM-M-42077-2021

Date of decision: 31.01.2022

Manjeet Kaur

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK SIBAL

Present: Mr. Kewal Krishan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rehat Bir Mann, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate,
for the complainant.

* * *

DEEPAK SIBAL, J. (Oral)

The matter has been taken up through video conferencing.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. for the grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.212 dated 22.08.2021 registered under Sections 306, 34 IPC at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

On October 07, 2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Instant petition has been filed under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, seeking grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.212 dated 22.08.2021, registered under Sections 306 and 34 of IPC, 1860, at Police Station Haibowal, District Ludhiana.

Counsel for the petitioner has placed reliance on order dated 29.09.2021, Annexure P-6, whereby, this Court has granted interim protection to co-accused, Pawandeep Kaur, who is daughter of the petitioner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Mr. Prabhjot Singh Walia, AAG, Punjab, who is assisted by Mr. Sunil Mallan, Advocate for the complainant, accepts notice.

List on 31.01.2022.

To be heard with CRM-M-40853-2021.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would appear as and when called for by the Investigating Officer and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

Status report be filed before the next date of hearing.”

Learned State counsel submits that under the afore-quoted order passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation; co-operated with the investigating agency and that her custodial interrogation is not required by the State.

After considering the submissions made on behalf of the petitioner in the afore-quoted order and the statement made on behalf of the State, the interim order of this Court dated 07.10.2021, granting ad interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner is made absolute.

January 31, 2022

Jyoti 1

(DEEPAK SIBAL)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No