

COCN-2241-2021

Date of Decision : 17.01.2022

Malkiat Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Dhruvan H. Nimbale

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Brijeshwar Singh Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (VC)

1. Case is being taken up for hearing through Video Conferencing due to Covid-19 pandemic.
2. Prayer in the petition under Section 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order Annexure P/1 dated 16.08.2021, in CRWP-7689-2021.
3. Learned counsel contends that vide order dated 16.08.2021, CRWP-7689-2021, was disposed of on the statement of learned State Counsel that representations dated 30.07.2021 and 02.08.2021, submitted by the petitioner would be considered and decided within two weeks and in case the petitioner was aggrieved with the decision, he would be at liberty to avail remedy in respect thereto in accordance with law and that in the circumstances, the instant petition was filed on account of failure of the respondent to decide the representations submitted by the petitioner.
4. Learned counsel contends that now as per the status report,

dated 08.12.2021 by the respondent and that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the contempt petition and the same may be disposed of accordingly.

5. Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab, contends that order Annexure P/1, dated 16.08.2021, having been complied with by the respondent by deciding the representations submitted by the petitioner vide order dated 08.12.2021, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondent.

6. I have considered the submissions of learned counsel for the parties.

7. Admittedly, representations submitted by the petitioner seeking directions to the official respondents to safe guard his life and liberty have been decided by the respondent though beyond the stipulated period of time. On query, learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner is satisfied with the decision taken on the representation. Accordingly, in the light of position noted above, no action under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, is called for against the respondents. The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

17.01.2022
rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No