

[221] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2741 of 2019
Date of Decision :11.11.2022

Yashpaul Singh ...Petitioner

versus

Gurkirat Singh, IAS, Principal Secretary, Deptt.
of Defence Services Welfare, Punjab and anotherRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Rajesh Mehta, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Prayer in the petition is for initiation of proceedings against the respondents for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 27.08.2018, in CWP No.539 of 2014 in case titled as '**Yashpaul Singh versus State of Punjab and another**'.

[2] A perusal of order (Annexure P-1) reveals that CWP No.539 of 2014 was allowed and the petitioner was held entitled to the higher pay and emoluments attached to the post of District Sanik Welfare Officer for the period he had worked as such and his pay was ordered to be re-fixed accordingly and arrears thereof paid within three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

[3] Learned Addl. AG, Punjab, has filed reply-cum-compliance report dated 02.11.2022. Copy of the same is stated to have already been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

[4] Learned Addl. AG, Punjab, refers to paragraph Nos.4 & 5 of the reply to contend that speaking order (Annexure R-1T) dated

petitioner, a sum of Rs.3,77,982/- has been paid to the petitioner and in the circumstances, orders of Hon'ble the High Court (Annexure P-1) dated 27.08.2018, in CWP No.539 of 2014 having been complied with, no further action is called for in the instant petition.

[5] None is present on behalf of the petitioner.

[6] However in view of the position noted above, no useful purpose would be served by adjourning the matter.

[7] Accordingly, in view of order (Annexure P-1) dated 27.08.2018, in CWP No.539 of 2014 having been complied with, besides payment as per entitlement determined vide order dated 11.02.2021 having been released to the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

11.11.2022

'Rajneesh'

<i>Whether speaking/ reasoned</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	:	<i>Yes/No</i>