

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-8130-2022

Date of Decision: August 26, 2022

Dimple and another

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. Lakhvir Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Randeep Singh Waraich, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.

1. Prayer in the instant petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, is for issuance of directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3, to provide protection of life and liberty to the petitioners, who have married against the wishes of private respondent Nos. 4 and 5 and other family members.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that Petitioner No.1 – Dimple, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2 – Sumit Sehgal, aged about 22 years, have got married on 18.08.2022, against the wishes of their family members, arrayed as respondent Nos. 4 and 5. It has been further submitted that the private respondents are threatening and trying to interfere in the marital life of the petitioners. Hence, they are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition.

3. Notice of motion.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. J.S. Arora, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos. 1 to 3 (State).

5. In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 – Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, District Jalandhar, to look into the representation dated 23.08.2022 (Annexure P-4) *qua* threat perception, if any, and take necessary steps, if any required, in accordance with law, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioners is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

August 26, 2022

Pkapor

Whether Speaking/Reasoned: **YES/NO**

Whether Reportable: **YES/NO**