

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

114

CR-4802-2019

Date of Order: 23.05.2022

LAKHWINDER SINGH

..Petitioner

Versus

PUNJAB STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD AND OTHERS

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. A.S. Manaise, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Jaipal Sandhu, Advocate
for respondents.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

In the previous round of litigation, the Court permitted the employer to reconsider the petitioner's case and conduct a fresh inquiry. It is alleged that the aforesaid departmental inquiry has been held after the petitioner's retirement. The Executing Court has dismissed the application on the ground that the Court while executing the decree cannot travel beyond the decree passed. Hence, the execution petition was dismissed.

The learned counsel representing the petitioner contends that no inquiry could be held after the petitioner's retirement. In the considered opinion of the Court, the petitioner, if aggrieved by the fresh inquiry, will have to avail an appropriate remedy. In execution petition, the Court is only required to examine whether the previous decree has been complied with or not.

Hence, no ground to interfere is made out.

Dismissed.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 23th, 2022

Ay

**(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

: Yes/No

Whether reportable

: Yes/No