

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247

CRM-M-42243-2021

Date of Decision: 16.08.2022

Amarjit Singh

.....Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Devansh Khanna, Legal aid counsel
for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sitta, Asst. AG, Punjab.

Ms. Rituraj Singh, Advocate
for respondents No.6 & 7.

ANOOP CHITKARA J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel appearing for respondents No.6 & 7 submits that respondent No.6 was SMO at that time and he was appointed to mediate the matter and the money was directly transferred to account of father of the petitioner's wife.

Since the counsel for the petitioner was not appearing, as such this Court had appointed legal aid counsel on behalf of the petitioner.

Learned legal aid counsel submits that the only order which would suffice in the present petition is that in case the Court clarifies that after the payment of a sum of Rs.14 lacs, nothing is required to pay by the petitioner to respondent No.7

The prayer being innocuous is not opposed.

Given above, it is clarified that Rs.14 lacs which has already been paid to respondent No.7 by the petitioner, nothing else is required on that count. It is further clarified that the government agencies shall not

harass the petitioner on that count.

At this stage, learned counsel Mr. Naresh Kumar who was earlier appearing for the petitioner, has put in appearance and submits that the liberty be given to the petitioner to file a fresh petition for any unredressed grievances.

Petition is disposed of in the terms mentioned above. Liberty is also reserved for the petitioner to file a fresh petition for unredressed grievances.

It is clarified that the legal aid counsel shall be entitled for grant of remuneration as per the rules.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

16.08.2022
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No