

241
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-9622-2021

Date of Decision: 15.03.2022

Prety

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. Ajay Kamboj, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Randhir Singh Thind, DAG, Punjab.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

An affidavit dated 14.03.2022 has been filed by the Deputy Superintendent of Police, City-I, Bathinda who is also present in the Court today.

As per the affidavit it has been stated by the DSP that the person Ashok Kumar who has been mentioned in para No.6 in affidavit dated 28.01.2022 is different from Ashok Kumar who has been mentioned in para No.7 and has now clarified the position with regard to the fact that the petitioner was required to be joined in an inquiry in a case and the information was given to the police by Ashok Kumar son of Ramji Dass and who is not Ashok Kumar who is respondent No.9. He further submitted that now the investigation of the case in which the petitioner was sought to be joined is complete and it has been found that the petitioner is not

required in any case. So far as the allegation against the police that the police has been harassing the petitioner is concerned, it has been specifically denied by the Deputy Superintendent of Police and has stated that he will ensure that the petitioner will not be harassed by any police official and also since the petitioner is not required in any other case, the present petition has become redundant.

In view of the stand taken by the DSP who is present in the Court that the petitioner is not required in any case, no further cause of action arises in the present case. So far as the ensuring the safety of the life of the petitioner is concerned, the DSP has ensured that in case in future the petitioner has any threat to her life from any person including any police official, then the petitioner shall be at liberty to file an application before the SSP and the same will be looked into.

It is, however, made clear that the aforesaid order pertaining to grant of liberty to the petitioner to move an application for grant of protection is concerned, the same pertains only to the limited extent of grant of protection of life in accordance with law and would not mean that it creates any embargo upon the police to enquire into any matter if at all any offence is being committed and in accordance with law.

The present petition stands disposed of.

15.03.2022

rakesh

**(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No