

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

402

FAO-6289-2011(O&M)
Date of Decision: 19.09.2022

Indraj Appellant
Versus

Krishan Chand and others Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present: None for the appellant.

Mr. B.S.Taunquie, Advocate for respondent No.3.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

The present appeal was listed for hearing before this Bench
on 12.09.2022 and the following order was passed :-

*“Nobody has appeared on behalf of the
contesting parties.*

*Since the matter is listed after a quite
long time, Registry is directed to inform counsel
for the parties.*

Adjourned to 19.09.2022”

As per report of the Registry, counsel for the appellant has
been informed through e-mail.

Inspite of last order, there is no representation on behalf of
the appellant and it seems that appellant has lost his interest to pursue
the appeal, thus, the court is left with no option except to dismiss the
appeal for want of prosecution.

Accordingly, the appeal is dismissed for want of
prosecution.

**(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE**

19.09.2022
anju

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	Yes/No