

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CWP-2405-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision :29.09.2022

Surender Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Dalbir Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Addl. A.G. Haryana.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner argues that the services of the petitioner, who was working as Steno Typist on contract/temporary basis, were terminated by the respondents, which act of the respondents in the facts and circumstances of the present case amounts to imposition of punishment as the respondents in their reply have attributed allegations of misconduct to the petitioner. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that as the impugned order has been passed by the Principal, the petitioner be given liberty to approach the higher authorities of the respondent-department so as to convince them that the petitioner was not only fully qualified but allegations of misconduct alleged against him are also incorrect.

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that in case any representation is filed by the petitioner, the same will be looked into in

accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed by the respondents within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of said representation.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by learned State counsel, the present petition may be disposed off having been not pressed any further with liberty as prayed for.

Ordered accordingly.

CM-2211-CWP-2017

Application is disposed off as having been rendered infructuous.

September 29, 2022
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No