

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(244)

CRM-M-32778-2019

Date of decision: - 12.10.2022

Narinder Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Ms. Prathana Duggal, Advocate
for Mr. Sapan Dhir, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. R.P. Singh, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No.1 to 3 to conduct a fair, impartial and expedite inquiry/investigation into the complaints against respondent No.4 and not to harass the present petitioner unnecessarily.

Learned State counsel has referred to the reply filed in the present case, in which, it has been stated that are several complaints were received against M/s Preet Land Promoters & Developers Private Limited on the allegations that it is a real estate firm and since 2005-2006 has been selling residential plots in the market to different buyers vide agreements to sell, however, after taking the entire amount from the buyers, the aforesaid firm has failed to deliver possession to the buyers.

The details of the nine complaints have been given in para No.4 of the

reply. It is also stated that the preliminary inquiry was got conducted and during the inquiry, it has transpired that the matter pertains to agreements executed by the aforesaid firm during the subsistence of directorship of the present petitioner. It is also stated that during inquiry, the petitioner had made a statement to the effect that he does not want to pursue his complaint dated 06.06.2019 and accordingly, the said complaint was filed and the police has visited the house of the petitioner and has called him to intimate him regarding the complaints received against him and to associate him in the inquiry of the said complaints and apart from this, the petitioner was never called or harassed by the police as claimed by the petitioner in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the reply filed by the respondent-State, seeks permission of this Court to withdraw the present petition with liberty to file a fresh petition in case any cause survives to the petitioner.

In view of the statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the present petition is dismissed as withdrawn, with the aforesaid liberty.

It is made clear that the second petition, if any, filed by the petitioner, would be considered independently on its merit, in accordance with law.

October 12, 2022
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No