

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-38862-2022 (O&M)

Date of decision: 7.12.2022

Usha Rani and others

...Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and another

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Vinay Bajaj, Advocate, for
Mr. G.L.Bajaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vishal Kashyap, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J. (Oral)

Prayer in this petition is for quashing of FIR No.0315 dated 9.11.2021 registered under Sections 323, 506 IPC at Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurugram on the basis of compromise.

The above stated FIR was registered on the statement of the complainant/respondent No.2-Latika Bhardwaj against the petitioners.

On notice of motion, respondent No.2 appeared in the Court through her counsel and pleaded that she has no objection if the FIR in this case is quashed on the basis of the aforesaid compromise which has been effected between the parties.

During the course of preliminary hearing, the trial Court/Illaq Magistrate was directed to record the statements of the all the concerned

parties with regard to genuineness and validity or otherwise of the aforesaid

compromise.

In compliance thereof, report from the Court of Additional Chief Judicial Magistrate, Gurugram along with statements of the parties has been received, in which, it is mentioned that the compromise is genuine and there was no undue influence or coercion from any side.

I have heard learned counsel for the parties.

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) and for respondent No.2 are *ad idem* that in view of the settlement effected between the parties, the present petition deserves to be accepted. It has also come on record that the aforesaid compromise is genuine and the parties effected the same without any undue influence or coercion.

Today, copy of demand draft No.906751 dated 29.11.2022 for ₹ 4 lakh in the name of Latika Bhardwaj respondent No.2 is received by her counsel from the opposite counsel in the Court.

In view of above, nothing remains to be adjudicated further in the present case. Thus, continuation of the criminal proceedings between the parties would be a futile exercise and sheer wastage of time of the Court and thus, amount to abuse of process of law.

For the reasons aforestated and having regard to the law laid down by Hon'ble Apex Court in **Gian Singh v. State of Punjab and another**, 2012 (4) RCR (Criminal) 543 and Five Judges Bench of this Court in **Kulwinder Singh and others v. State of Punjab and another**, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052, this petition is allowed and FIR No. 0315 dated 9.11.2021 registered under Sections 323, 506 IPC at Police Station Sushant Lok, District Gurugram and all the subsequent proceedings are hereby

quashed qua the petitioners.

(**KARAMJIT SINGH**)
JUDGE

December 7, 2022

Paritosh Kumar

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	No
Whether Reportable :	Yes	No