

Lovepreet Singh

...Petitioner

versus

Iqbal Preet Singh Sahota

....Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Puneet Kumar Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Deepali Puri, Addl. AG, Punjab.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 05.07.2021, in CWP-20931-2019.

2. A perusal of order Annexure P-1 dated 05.07.2021, reveals that CWP No.20931-2019, was disposed in terms of CWP-22985-2016, titled as Raghvir Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and others and operative part of the same is reproduced as under:-

iii) All the petitioners be notified of the date, time and venue for re-measurement of height through email/ text message as well on the website of the department. Those who opt for re-measurement, the exercise for their re-measurement of height and the resultant changes concerning the concerned petitioners in selection shall be completed within the next one month.

iv) If any of the concerned aggrieved petitioners is/are selected, he/they be issued letters of appointment within the next two months. Candidates who have already undergone re-measurement test during pendency of proceedings would

be given benefit thereof, if any, in accordance with re-measured height.

3. Learned Addl. AG, Punjab, has filed reply dated 30.05.2022 and refers to para Nos.7, 9 and 10 of the same to contend that on re-measurement, petitioner's height has been found to be more than the height measured previously and that the petitioners name now figures at Sr. No.1070 in the merit list of the District Police cadre and result in respect thereto has been uploaded on the official website of the department on 24.05.2022 and that process of allotment of constabulary numbers to the candidates/petitioner, who have come in the selection zone is going on and would be completed shortly. The aforesaid reply is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances the petitioner does not press the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same while directing the respondent to take follow up action to issue constabulary number to the petitioner as per merit determined in a time bound manner.

5. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondent under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while directing the respondent to ensure release of constabulary number to the petitioner in accordance with law as per merit determined as expeditiously as possible and in any case within two weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

02.06.2022

Rajesh

Whether speaking/ reasoned

:

Yes/No

Whether reportable

:

Yes/No