

CRM-M-37485-2020

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(252)

CRM-M-37485-2020.
Date of Decision:-04.04.2022.

Ranjot Singh @ Navjot Singh @ Jot

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANT PARKASH

Present: Mr. Karanjeet Singh Brar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Tanvir Joshi, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Rajat Dogra, Advocate for respondent Nos.2 to 4.

SANT PARKASH, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has approached this Court by way of instant petition under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure invoking its inherent jurisdiction for quashing of FIR No.155 dated 18.10.2020 registered under Sections 308 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 (for short 'the IPC') and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda, as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 30.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.

Vide order dated 12.11.2020, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court to record their statements with regard to the compromise and send a report to this Court. The report dated 10.12.2020 of learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Talwandi Sabo has been

CRM-M-37485-2020

received, wherein it is stated that in pursuance of the order of this Court, the statements of the parties were recorded which indicates that the compromise is genuine, without any coercion or undue influence. Learned counsels appearing on behalf of both the parties admit that parties have settled their disputes.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties and going through record of the case, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case for exercising the inherent jurisdiction of this Court under Section 482 Cr.P.C., so as to secure the ends of justice because the parties have arrived at an out of the Court settlement by way of compromise (Annexure P-2). The compromise is without any pressure and a genuine one. In such a situation, continuation of the prosecution would result in sheer abuse of process of law.

Consequently, keeping in view the fact that the dispute has been amicably settled between the parties and in view of the law laid down by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in **Narinder Singh and others vs. State of Punjab and another, (2014) 6 SCC 466**, the present petition is allowed. Resultantly, FIR No.155 dated 18.10.2020 registered under Sections 308 of the IPC and Section 25 and 27 of Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station Raman, District Bathinda, as well as the consequential proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioner.

**(SANT PARKASH)
JUDGE**

April 04, 2022.

sandeep

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Whether Reportable:-

Yes/No

Yes/No