

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(115)

CRM-M-38228-2020

Date of decision: 18.04.2022

Jugal Sharma @ Abhishek Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present:- Mr. Sachneet Singh Randhawa, Advocate for
Mr. Lokesh Narang, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Amandeep Singh Gill, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Punjab.

Mr. Nishad Ahuja, Advocate for
Mr. H.S.Oberoi, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

...

SUVIR SEHGAL, J. (Oral)

Instant petition has been filed under Section 482 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 seeking quashing of FIR No.10 dated 07.02.2020, registered for offences under Sections 376, 384, 420 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860, at Police Station Satnampura, District Kapurthala (Annexure P-1) along with all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of Compromise/Undertaking dated 05.03.2020 (Annexure P-2) effected between the parties.

Heard counsel for the parties.

Vide order dated 21.01.2021, this Court granted time to the parties to go through the judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court. However, a request for an adjournment has been made on behalf of counsel for the petitioner, which is declined.

It has been settled by Hon'ble Supreme Court in *State of Madhya Pradesh Versus Laxmi Narain (2019) 5 SCC 688* that cases of heinous offences such as rape, murder, dacoity etc. cannot be quashed merely on the basis of compromise.

Petition is dismissed.

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

18.04.2022
Pardeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable	Yes/No