

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH**

123

CWP No.19109 of 2022  
DATE OF DECISION : 29<sup>th</sup> AUGUST, 2022

**Jagtar Singh**

.... **Petitioner**

**Versus**

**The Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court-I,  
Chandigarh through its Presiding Officer & Ors**

.... **Respondents**

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT**

\* \* \* \*

Present : Mr.Vivek Aggarwal, Advocate (legal aid) for the petitioner.

\* \* \* \*

**RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)**

The petitioner has filed this petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for issuance of direction to the respondents to conduct the case registered as ID No.19 of 2021 titled as Jagtar Singh Vs. State Bank of India & ors. concerning the petitioner, expeditiously in a time bound manner pending for adjudication on 26.09.2022 before respondent No.2, especially in view of the fact that the petitioner has been facing huge financial hardships on account of maintaining himself as well as for survival of his family; along with certain other prayers.

The grievance of the petitioner is that the reference made qua the grievance of the petitioner is pending before the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, for a long time. At least

ten dates have been given in the matter, without carrying out any effective proceedings. Therefore, respondent No.2 be directed to expedite the case.

However, it has come to the notice of this court in another petition that earlier the post of the Presiding Officer of Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court, was not manned by any person. But now the Presiding Officer has been posted there, but with a multiple charge for other places as well. Therefore, in all likelihood the petitioner would get an effective hearing now.

Accordingly, this court does not find any ground to interfere in the matter at this stage. However, this court expects that the Central Government Industrial Tribunal-cum-Labour Court shall proceed in the case of the petitioner to dispose of the same as expeditiously as possible.

In view of the above, the petition stands disposed of with above said observations.

29<sup>th</sup> AUGUST, 2022

*‘raj’*

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)

JUDGE

|                                   |            |           |
|-----------------------------------|------------|-----------|
| <i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i> | <i>Yes</i> | <i>No</i> |
| <i>Whether Reportable:</i>        | <i>Yes</i> | <i>No</i> |