

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

273

CRM-M-32772-2019

Date of decision: 18.04.2022

Baljit Singh Chaudhary alias Baljit Chaudhary

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of U.T., Chandigarh and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present:- Mr. Gursharan Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajiv Vij, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

None for respondent No.2.

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J.(ORAL)

Prayer in the petition is for quashing of the FIR in question on the basis of the compromise entered into between the parties in the second petition filed by the petitioner.

On 19.09.2019, the parties were directed to appear before the trial Court for recording their statements. Again on 29.11.2019, 30 days more time was granted to the parties for recording their statements, in terms of orders dated 19.09.2019.

The trial Court has submitted a report that even on second time, no one has put in appearance for recording their statements in support of the compromise. Period of more than 2½ years has lapsed, no application has been moved by the parties for extension of time for recording of their statements. It appears that no valid compromise is entered between the parties.

Accordingly, this petition is disposed of. However, liberty is granted to the parties to file fresh petition in case the parties still acknowledge the compromise.

**(ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN)
JUDGE**

18.04.2022

D.Bansal

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable

Yes / No