

210

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-41851-2021 (O&M)
Date of Decision:18.05.2022

Sucheta Khokhar

.. Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana

..Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. K.D.S. Hooda, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Vishal Garg Narwana, Advocate
for the complainant.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

CRM-4093-2022

This is an application for impleading complainant Saroj Bala as party-respondent No.2 in the main petition.

Notice of the application to respondent-State.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. Bhupender Singh, DAG, Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State.

Heard. For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed. Saroj Bala is impleaded as party-respondent No.2. Amended memo of parties, annexed with the application and reply are taken on record.

The application is disposed off.

CRM-M-41851-2021 (O&M)

Petitioner has approached this Court under Section 438 Code of Criminal Procedure to seek anticipatory bail in a case FIR No.261 dated 06.07.2021 registered under Section 506 IPC and Section 3(2)(ii) Scheduled Caste and Scheduled Tribes (Prevention of Atrocities) Act, 1989 at Police

Station Pinjore, District Panchkula, who apprehends her arrest at the hands of Police.

On 06.10.2021, this Court had passed the following order:

“Learned counsel contends that the complainant and petitioner are neighbours and on trivial issues, differences between them erupted and as per allegations, no offence punishable under SCST Act would be made out. According to him, the complainant has alleged that she was subjected to mental harassment and threats by the petitioner and other co-accused. Learned counsel has further pointed out that on the basis of the complaint by petitioner, FIR No.274 dated 12.09.2020 already stands registered against Rajender Chopala (husband of the complainant)

Notice of motion for 09.02.2022.

Meanwhile, the petitioner shall join the investigation and would come present as and when called for and in the event of arrest, the petitioner shall be admitted to interim bail on her furnishing personal and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as specified under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C ”

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that in compliance of the above order, the petitioner associated herself in the investigation and cooperated during interrogation.

Learned State counsel, who is instructed by ACP Mukesh Kumar, states that indeed the petitioner has joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation for the time being.

Considering above, the petition is allowed and the interim bail granted by this Court vide order dated 06.10.2021 is made absolute.

18.05.2022

Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes No
Yes No