

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-18778-2022 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 25.08.2022**

MANGE RAM

..Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN MONGA

Present: Mr. Abhimanyu Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Pankaj Middha, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

ARUN MONGA, J. (ORAL)

Grievance of the petitioner is qua his transfer order dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P-3) from the Office of District Fisheries Officers, Charkhi Dadri to District Fisheries Officer, Yamuna Nagar, allegedly on the basis of false and frivolous allegations leveled against the petitioner. Earlier, the petitioner was also placed under suspension vide order dated 27.04.2022 (Annexure P-1).

2. Plead case is that, on being reinstated petitioner has been transferred to Yamun Nagar in violation of the Government transfer Policy. Learned counsel contends that petitioner is fully conscious that being a Government servant, he can be transferred but the same ought to have been done in accordance with the transfer policy.

3. Learned State counsel opposed the issuance of notice of motion.

4. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the case file.

5. Transfer being matter of administrative exigency, this Court generally refrains to interfere and treads cautiously, unless it is a case of extreme hardship. The case in hand does not seem to be such so as to deserve any indulgence.

Moreover, to transfer an official or not to, is sole discretion of the employer based on the administrative exigencies. Not doing or doing so is not a punishment, but an integral part of service conditions.

6. In the premise, the impugned order dated 16.08.2022 (Annexure P-3) does not call for any interference from this Court in exercise of its extraordinary writ jurisdiction vested under Article 226 of Constitution of India.

7. Dismissed.

(ARUN MONGA)
JUDGE

August 25, 2022
ashish

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No