

115 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCp-2231-2021

Date of Decision: 18.04.2022

Richa Bhardwaj

...Petitioner

vs.

Rajiv Miglani

...Respondent

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia.

Present : Mr. Saurabh Singh, Advocate for
 Mr. Vaneet Kumar Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

 Mr. Manjeet Singh, Advocate for the respondent.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer in the instant petition is for initiating proceedings against the respondent for intentional and willful defiance of order, Annexure P-1, dated 13.8.2021 in CRWP No.7643 of 2021.

2. Notice has not yet been issued in the instant petition.

3. A perusal of order Annexure P-1, dated 13.08.2021, reveals that CRWP No.7643 of 2021, was disposed of by directing respondent No.4- Station House Officer, Police Station Sector-14, Panchkula to expeditiously consider the grievance(s) raised by the petitioner in the representation made to him and take action thereupon as he deemed fit, in accordance with law within one month from the date of receipt of order.

4. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent has filed reply in Court today and the same is taken on record. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

5. A perusal of the reply reveals that FIR Annexure R-17 dated 21.11.2021 was registered against the accused on the basis of representation Annexure R-1.

6. Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in the circumstances, the petitioner does not wish to pursue the instant petition and may be permitted to withdraw the same.

7. In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, no action is called for against the respondent, under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971. Accordingly, the instant petition is disposed of as such.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

18.04.2022
hemlata

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No