

[133] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.1774 of 2022
Date of Decision :14.09.2022

Har Gopal ...Petitioner

versus

Vivek Partap Singh, IAS and othersRespondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice B.S. Walia

Present : Mr. Amit Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

B.S. Walia, J. (Oral)

[1] Learned counsel for the petitioner states that while payment on account of retiral benefits etc. has been released but the same has been released belatedly, therefore, though the petitioner does not press the instant petition but prays for grant of liberty to take out appropriate proceedings, in accordance with law to seek interest on account of delay in release of retiral benefits etc.

[2] In view of the position noted above, as well as statement of learned counsel for the petitioner, the instant petition is *disposed of* as not calling for any action against the respondents under the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971, while granting liberty to the petitioner as prayed for.

(B.S. Walia)
Judge

14.09.2022

'Rajneesh'

Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No