

116

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR-3564-2022 (O&M)

Date of Decision:01.09.2022

SUSHIL KUMAR AND ANR.

.. Petitioners

Vs.

REGISTERED PARTNER FIRM M/S PREM NATH OM PARKASH
THROUGH ITS PARTNERS.

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. Pawan Attri, Advocate for the petitioners.

...

Manoj Bajaj, J. (Oral)

Petitioners (tenants) have filed this revision petition under Article 227 Constitution of India for setting aside the impugned order dated 16.07.2022 (Annexure P-4) passed by Rent Controller, Shahbad, District Kurukshetra, whereby their application under Order VII Rule 14 CPC seeking directions to respondents to produce the Income Tax Returns since 2015-16 to till date, was dismissed.

During the course of hearing, it is not disputed by learned counsel that the tenants/petitioners are yet to file their written statement to controvert the grounds of ejectment raised by landlord/respondents in their ejectment petition under Section 13 Haryana Urban (Control of Rent & Eviction) Act, 1973. He further fairly concedes that the material documents sought from the landlord are not actually relied upon by them in their petition.

Learned counsel does not press this petition in order to raise the

during trial.

Dismissed as not pressed.

01.09.2022
Jasmine Kaur

(MANOJ BAJAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes No
Yes No