

227

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-2165-2018

Date of Decision: 11.05.2022

Surjit Singh and others

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Ms. Riti Aggarwal, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Ms. Anju Sharma Kaushik, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Anupam Singla, Advocate,
for respondent No.2.

HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI J. (ORAL)

In the present petition, prayer of the petitioners is that though they are similarly situated as the petitioners in **CWP-2866-2014**, titled as ***“Karanvir Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and others”***, decided on 30.09.2016, but still their pension is not being re-fixed by the respondent-Corporation, and that too without any valid justification.

Learned counsel for the petitioners argues that after filing of the present petition, the respondent/State of Punjab has even issued instructions dated 04.07.2019, so as to implement the judgment passed in **Karanvir Singh's case** (supra) qua all the similarly situated employees, but still claim of the petitioners, as made in the present petition, has not been decided by the respondent-Corporation.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 submits that the

respondent-Corporation has no objection in considering the claim of the

petitioners, as made in the present petition, in terms of the judgment passed in **Karanvir Singh's case** (supra) read with the instructions dated 04.07.2019, issued by the State of Punjab, in case some time is granted to the respondent-Corporation for the said purpose.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioners have no objection, in case the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioners, as made in the present petition, in terms of the judgment passed by this Court in **Karanvir Singh's case** (supra) read with the instructions dated 04.07.2019, issued by the State of Punjab, in a time bound manner by passing an appropriate speaking order.

Keeping in view the above and without going into merits of the claim as raised by the petitioners in the present case, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider the claim of the petitioners, as made in the present petition, and pass an appropriate speaking order within a period of eight weeks from the date of receipt of the copy of this order. In case, the petitioners are found entitled for any benefit, the same be also released in their favour within a period of four weeks thereafter.

11.05.2022
Apurva

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
2. Whether reportable : No