

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.114

**Civil Writ Petition No.19495 of 2022
Date of Decision : September 01, 2022**

Shailender

...Petitioner

Versus

Tilak Raj and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

The Collector appointed respondent No.1 as the Lambardar. Appeal and revision filed by the petitioner have failed and thus, the present writ petition has been filed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order of the Collector was perverse as he had not considered the comparative merits of the parties. This fact has also been ignored by the Appellate as well as Revisional Authorities and thus, the impugned orders deserve to be set aside.

The argument cannot be accepted as a perusal of the order of the Collector shows that he has in fact considered the comparative merits of the parties before appointing respondent No.1 as the Lambardar. It is settled law that the choice of the Collector should not be interfered with unless and until it is perverse. No perversity has been pointed out and thus, the impugned orders do not call for any interference.

The writ petition has not merit and is dismissed.

September 01, 2022

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No